HOW AND WHY RURAL GPS COMMIT THE TIME TO

PRECEPT MEDICAL STUDENTS

Lucie Walters
MBBS (Adelaide), DCH, DipRANZCOG, FRACGP, FACRRM

Health Professional Education
School of Medicine
Faculty of Health Sciences
Flinders University

Degree of Doctor of Philosophy
Candidature Thesis
Submitted May 2009
TABLE OF CONTENTS

Tables .......................................................................................................................... 3
Figures .......................................................................................................................... 5
Summary ....................................................................................................................... 6
Declarations .................................................................................................................. 8
Aknowledgements ...................................................................................................... 9

1 Introduction ............................................................................................................... 10
  1.1 Trends towards rural community-based medical education .................. 10
  1.2 Implications for Australian rural general practitioners .................... 13
  1.3 Preceptorship ................................................................................................. 15
  1.4 The Parallel Rural Community Curriculum .............................................. 16
  1.5 The parallel consulting model ................................................................. 17
  1.6 Summary ....................................................................................................... 18

2 Literature Review ..................................................................................................... 20
  2.1 Introduction ...................................................................................................... 20
  2.2 Evidence of time ......................................................................................... 22
  2.3 The broader impacts of precepting ......................................................... 33
  2.4 Conceptual framework ............................................................................... 38
  2.5 Summary ....................................................................................................... 44

3 Research Design ....................................................................................................... 46
  3.1 Introduction ...................................................................................................... 46
  3.2 Theoretical perspectives ............................................................................. 46
  3.3 Ethics .............................................................................................................. 52
  3.4 Quantitative methods .................................................................................. 55
  3.5 Study rigour .................................................................................................. 62
  3.6 Qualitative methods .................................................................................... 73
  3.7 Rigour of qualitative methodology .......................................................... 81
  3.8 Summary ....................................................................................................... 86

4 Time committed to precepting ................................................................................ 87
  4.1 Introduction ...................................................................................................... 87
  4.2 Study context .................................................................................................. 87
  4.3 Case study participant characteristics ................................................... 89
  4.4 Consultation length ....................................................................................... 95
  4.5 Non-consulting time in a session ............................................................ 106
  4.6 Perceived additional time .......................................................................... 108
  4.7 Summary ....................................................................................................... 110

5 GP activities during precepting .............................................................................. 111
  5.1 Introduction ...................................................................................................... 111
  5.2 Consultation activities ............................................................................... 111
  5.3 Non-consulting activities ......................................................................... 120
  5.4 Summary ....................................................................................................... 122

6 Time Pressure .......................................................................................................... 124
  6.1 Introduction ...................................................................................................... 124
How and why GPs commit time to precepting

6.2 Experiences of time pressure.................................................. 124
6.3 Competing priorities for general practitioners............................. 124
6.4 Mental effort ............................................................................ 125
6.5 Increased pressure of precepting................................................ 126
6.6 Summary .................................................................................. 133

7 The meaning of precepting.......................................................... 135
7.1 Introduction ............................................................................... 135
7.2 Professional Enrichment ............................................................ 135
7.3 Patient care ............................................................................... 139
7.4 The doctor - patient relationship............................................... 141
7.5 The student - patient relationship.............................................. 142
7.6 The doctor-student relationship ............................................... 145
7.6 Summary .................................................................................. 158

8 Discussion and conclusions ....................................................... 161
8.1 Introduction ............................................................................... 161
8.2 Outline of the study findings .................................................... 161
8.3 Conclusions .............................................................................. 179

9 Appendices .................................................................................. 182
Appendix 1: Solely rural studies .................................................... 182
Appendix 2: Mixed rural and urban studies...................................... 183
Appendix 3: Urban studies ............................................................... 185
Appendix 4: GP consent form for videotape study ................................ 188
Appendix 5: Student consent for videotape studies ........................... 189
Appendix 5: Student consent for videotape studies ........................... 190
Appendix 6: Patient consent form for videotape study ....................... 191
Appendix 6: Patient consent form for videotape study ....................... 192
Appendix 7: GP post-videotape questionnaire................................... 193
Appendix 7: GP post-videotape questionnaire................................... 194
Appendix 8: Student post-videotape questionnaire ............................ 196
Appendix 9: Videotape analysis protocol......................................... 197
Appendix 10 GP/Practice manager interview consent form................. 198
Appendix 11: Student interview consent form.................................. 200
Appendix 12: GP/Practice Manager interview proforma ..................... 201
Appendix 13: Student semi-structured interview proforma ................. 202
Appendix 14: Publications during professional doctorate candidature.... 203

10 References .................................................................................. 204
### TABLES

Table 1.1 GP booking system for student precepting sessions using the parallel consulting model .......................................................... 17

Table 2.1 Difference in doctor’s office hours when precepting a medical student in primary care settings .......................................................... 23
Table 2.2 Difference in patient numbers and billed charges when precepting a medical student in primary care settings .......................... 27
Table 2.3 Study findings regarding consultation times ........................................ 30
Table 2.4 Clinicians’ consultation activities with and without students .................. 31
Table 2.5 Organisational change theories: differences and similarities across six dimensions ................................................................. 41

Table 3.1 Case characteristics in the study .................................................... 50
Table 3.2 Modified Davis Observation Code ................................................. 57
Table 3.3 Non-consulting activity code descriptions ........................................ 59
Table 3.4 Strategies used to address threats to internal validity in this study during research design and data collection phases .................. 64
Table 3.5 Strategies used to address threats to internal validity in this study during data analysis phase .............................................. 67
Table 3.6 Strategies used to address threats to internal validity in this study during data analysis phase .............................................. 70
Table 3.7 Strategies used to address threats to external validity .......................... 73
Table 3.8 Research timeline ........................................................................ 77
Table 3.9 Relevant questions to address confirmability .................................... 82
Table 3.10 Relevant questions to address dependability .................................... 83
Table 3.11 Relevant questions to address credibility ......................................... 84
Table 3.12 Relevant questions to address transferability .................................... 85

Table 4.1 Medical clinics participating in the study ........................................ 88
Table 4.2 Defining interview participants ....................................................... 89
Table 4.3 Purposive sampling of teaching GPs to account for age and gender .......... 91
Table 4.4 GP characteristics by study consultations ........................................ 92
Table 4.5 Frequency of effective teaching behaviours ..................................... 93
Table 4.6 Patient characteristics in study consultations .................................... 94
Table 4.7 Student characteristics in precepting consultations ............................ 95
Table 4.8 Tests for normality (Shapiro – Wilk) and skewness of consultation times 97
Table 4.9 Confounding factors ................................................................. 98
Table 4.10 Correlation coefficients for consultation length and confounding variables ................................................................. 99
Table 4.11 Estimated marginal means of consultation length ................................ 99
Table 4.12 Perceptions of consultation time ............................................... 101
Table 4.13 GP teaching behaviours affecting precepting consultation times .......... 102
Table 4.14 Estimated marginal means of precepting consultations by student competence ................................................................. 103
Table 4.15 Effect of year long attachments on precepting consultation time ....... 105
Table 4.16 Tests for normality and skewness for non-consulting time ............... 107
Table 4.17 Estimated marginal means for non-consulting time in a session .......... 108
How and why GPs commit time to precepting

Table 5.1 Consultation activities for GP preceptors .............................................. 112
Table 5.2 Non-consulting activities for GP preceptors ........................................... 121

Table 6.1 Experience of time pressure ............................................................... 124

Table 7.1 Professional enrichment ................................................................. 136
Table 7.2 Desirable characteristics in students .................................................. 144
Table 7.3 Themes relating to the doctor-student relationship ............................ 146
How and why GPs commit time to precepting

FIGURES

Figure 2.1 Maslow’s hierarchy of needs ................................................................. 39
Figure 2.2 A conceptual framework of the impact of teaching on physician’s job and career satisfaction ........................................................................................................... 42
Figure 2.3 Preliminary conceptual framework regarding how and why GPs commit time to precepting ........................................................................................................... 44

Figure 3.1 Patient care category developed through axial coding ...................... 80

Figure 4.1 Distribution of consultation times ....................................................... 96
Figure 4.2 Distribution of total non-consulting time in a session ......................... 107

Figure 5.1 Consultation activities in solo and precepting consultations ............... 114

Figure 8.1 Maturation of doctor-student relationship ........................................... 171
Figure 8.2 Types of triangular relationship recognised in precepting consultations 176
SUMMARY

This thesis defines the time impact of precepting medical students on rural general practitioners and explains how and why they commit the time to precept. To answer this question, original research was undertaken within the context of the innovative community-based medical education program, the Parallel Rural Community Curriculum (PRCC), using the parallel consulting model. Chapters One to Three detail the context of this study, appraise the existing evidence in the literature, and establish the rigour of the study design. In line with the constructivist theoretical perspective presented by the author, a case study methodology was chosen for this study. The thesis is constructed in two parts.

Results from a prospective cohort study of GPs’ videotaped consulting, with and without students, are described in Chapters Four and Five. No increase in consultation time or non-consulting time was found when precepting medical students. GPs’ activities changed, suggesting they adapted their behaviour when students were present.

An interpretive study, using a grounded theory approach, was used to explain the ‘how’ and ‘why’ of the research question. Interview data from GP preceptors, practice managers and students was used to construct a transferable explanatory theory as it emerged from the data. These results are presented in Chapters Six and Seven.

The majority of GPs considered precepting more time consuming than consulting alone. This finding was not consistent with the videotaped data. GPs consistently
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experienced time pressure in their roles due to constant intrusion of competing priorities. This increased when precepting students. Frequent decision making by GPs as a response to their drive to remain on time was found to be a significant contributing factor to the changes found in consultation activities.

Although many types of professional enrichment were identified by GP preceptors as adding value to precepting, the doctor-student relationship was clearly defined as the most important motivator for precepting in this study.

The case study analysis explains how and why this occurred, and documents the maturation of the doctor-student relationship over time in the year long PRCC attachments. Through a grounded theory analysis of the data, four precepting consultation models emerged: student observer, teacher-healer, doctor orchestrator and doctor advisor. In Chapter Eight, the study defines these models in the context of legitimate peripheral participation of a novice member of the rural GP community of practice. The corroborative evidence from the literature suggests that these models may be applicable to other settings, particularly other community-based medical education sites.
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