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Abstract

In this thesis, we describe several imaging techniques specifically designed and developed for the assessment of pulmonary structure, function and pathology. We then describe the application of this technology within appropriate biological systems, including the identification, tracking and assessment of lung tumors in a mouse model of lung cancer.

The design and development of a Large Image Microscope Array (LIMA), an integrated whole organ serial sectioning and imaging system, is described with emphasis on whole lung tissue. This system provides a means for acquiring 3D pathology of fixed whole lung specimens with no infiltrative embedment medium using a purpose-built vibratome and imaging system. This system enables spatial correspondence between histology and non-invasive imaging modalities such as Computed Tomography (CT), Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) and Positron Emission Tomography (PET), providing precise correlation of the underlying “ground truth” pathology back to the in vivo imaging data. The LIMA system is evaluated using fixed lung specimens from sheep and mice, resulting in large, high-quality pathology datasets that are accurately registered to their respective CT and H&E histology.

The implementation of an in vivo micro-CT imaging system in the context of pulmonary imaging is described. Several techniques are initially developed to reduce artifacts commonly associated with commercial micro-CT systems, including geometric gantry calibration, ring artifact reduction and beam hardening correction. A computer controlled Intermittent Iso-pressure Breath Hold (IIBH) ventilation system is then developed for reduction of respiratory motion artifacts in live, breathing mice. A study validating the repeatability of extracting valuable pulmonary metrics using this technique against standard respiratory gating techniques is then presented.

The development of an ex vivo laser scanning confocal microscopy (LSCM) and an in vivo catheter based confocal microscopy (CBCM) pulmonary imaging technique is described. Direct high-resolution imaging of sub-pleural alveoli is presented and
an alveolar mechanic study is undertaken. Through direct quantitative assessment of alveoli during inflation and deflation, recruitment and de-recruitment of alveoli is quantitatively measured. Based on the empirical data obtained in this study, a new theory on alveolar mechanics is proposed.

Finally, a longitudinal mouse lung cancer study utilizing the imaging techniques described and developed throughout this thesis is presented. Lung tumors are identified, tracked and analyzed over a 6-month period using a combination of micro-CT, micro-PET, micro-MRI, LSCM, CBCM, LIMA and H&E histology imaging. The growth rate of individual tumors is measured using the micro-CT data and traced back to the histology using the LIMA system. A significant difference in tumor growth rates within mice is observed, including slow growing, regressive, disappearing and aggressive tumors, while no difference between the phenotype of tumors was found from the H&E histology. Micro-PET and micro-MRI imaging was conducted at the 6-month time point and revealed the limitation of these systems for detection of small lesions (<2mm) in this mouse model of lung cancer. The CBCM imaging provided the first high-resolution live pathology of this mouse model of lung cancer and revealed distinct differences between normal, suspicious and tumor regions. In addition, a difference was found between control A/J mice parenchyma and Urethane A/J mice ‘normal’ parenchyma, suggesting a “field effect” as a result of the Urethane administration and/or tumor burden. In conclusion, a comprehensive murine lung cancer imaging study was undertaken, and new information regarding the progression of tumors over time has been revealed.
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## List of Symbols and Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \nu )</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \lambda )</td>
<td>Wavelength</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(^{18}\text{F-FDG})</td>
<td>Fluodeoxyglucose F18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(^{18}\text{F-FLT})</td>
<td>Fluorothymidine F18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/D</td>
<td>Analog-to-Digital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOTF</td>
<td>Acousto-Optical Tunable Filter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BASC</td>
<td>Bronchio-Alveolar Stem Cell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( c )</td>
<td>Speed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBCM</td>
<td>Catheter Based Confocal Microscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCD</td>
<td>Charge Coupled Device</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cGy</td>
<td>Centi-Gray</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>Computed Tomography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPSS</td>
<td>Double Pumped Solid State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dsDNA</td>
<td>Double Stranded DNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( E )</td>
<td>Energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EM</td>
<td>Electro Magnetic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eV</td>
<td>electron Volt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFT</td>
<td>Fast Fourier Transform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOV</td>
<td>Field of View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFP</td>
<td>Green Fluorescent Protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRE</td>
<td>Gradient-Recalled Echo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRIN</td>
<td>Gradient-Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HU</td>
<td>Hounsfield Unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFFT</td>
<td>Inverse Fast Fourier Transform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIBH</td>
<td>Intermittent Iso-pressure Breath hold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IM</td>
<td>Intra Muscular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP</td>
<td>Intra Peritoneal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Intra Venous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LE</td>
<td>Late Expiratory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LI</td>
<td>Late Inspiratory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIMA</td>
<td>Large Image Microscope Array</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSCM</td>
<td>Laser Scanning Confocal Microscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acronym</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCL</td>
<td>Mean Chord Length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCLa</td>
<td>Mean Chord Length of Airspace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCLw</td>
<td>Mean Chord Length of Wall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEMS</td>
<td>Micro Electro Mechanical System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>micro-CT</td>
<td>micro-Computed Tomography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI</td>
<td>Magnetic Resonance Imaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTF</td>
<td>Modular Transfer Function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSCLC</td>
<td>Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBS</td>
<td>Phosphate Buffer Saline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PID</td>
<td>Proportional Integrative Derivative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMT</td>
<td>Photo Multiplier Tube</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RECIST</td>
<td>Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rtTA</td>
<td>Reverse Tetracycline Transactivator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCLC</td>
<td>Small-Cell Lung Cancer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNR</td>
<td>Signal to Noise Ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ssDNA</td>
<td>Single Stranded DNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTL</td>
<td>Transistor-Transistor Logic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO</td>
<td>World Health Organization</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>